

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/769,344	01/30/2004	Steven Daryl Smith	9005MR 8322		
27752 THE PROCTE	7590 10/09/2007 R & GAMBLE COMPA	EXAMINER			
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION - WEST BLDG. WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 412			MULCAHY, PETER D		
	HILL AVENUE	K - BUX 412	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1796		
CINCINNATI,	ОН 45224				
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

en land the state of the	Application No.	- 4:	Applicant(s)	
	10/769,344	SMITH ET AL.	ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	- 19 - 19
	Peter D. Mulcahy		1713	A CONTRACT
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the c	orrespondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) N cause the application to become	NICATION y a reply be tim MONTHS from	nely filed the mailing date of this	
Status		* .		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal m			ne merits is
,	x parte Quayle, 1955 C	J.U. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	e de la companya de La companya de la co
Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-10,12-16 and 18-20 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3.5-10.12-16 and 18-20 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ted.	:		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Graph Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in aberion is required if the drawi	yance. See ing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2 Certified copies of the priority documents 3 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in ity documents have be I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application	on Noed in this Nationa	ıl Stage
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/7/07 & 3/27/07.	Paper N		(PTO-413) tte atent Application	
5. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac	tion Summary	Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail I	Date 20071001

Application/Control Number: 10/769,344

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3,5-10,12-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson 3,981,838 in view of Westbrook et al. US 5,389,711.
- 3. The rejection set forth under 35 USC 103 in the paper mailed 3/22/07 is deemed proper and is herein repeated. Applicants newly amended claims and the remarks filed in support thereof have been fully considered but have been found not persuasive.
- 4. Applicants have set forth species of process oil in the claims. These process oils are conventional oils and the incorporation thereof is obvious.
- 5. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Application/Control Number: 10/769,344

Art Unit: 1713

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter D. Mulcahy/ Peter D. Mulcahy Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

9/27/07